

“Motherhood as Lived Experience”
HONORS Oral Presentation GRADE SHEET

Presenter's Name _____

<i>Criteria</i>	<i>Exemplary</i>	<i>Very Good</i>	<i>Proficient</i>	<i>Fair</i>
Introduction	Offers a well-organized and definitive introduction to the topic and interviewee, articulates the significance of the topic and previews succinctly the material to be covered in the presentation.	Very good introduction to the topic; offers a summary of the presentation. Could be a tad tighter.	Introduces topic but significance is not entirely clear, organization is not particularly coherent; not really clear what will be covered.	Inadequate articulation of topic, significance and/or interviewee. No apparent organizational strategy.
Content Depth (How much did I learn from this presentation)	Exceptional delivery and explanation of key concepts; clearly draws upon relevant literature. Listeners gain new insights. This work was flawless.	For the most part, explanations of concepts and theories are accurate and complete. Minimum flaws.	Explanations of concepts are still too broad. Weak incorporation of relevant literature.	No reference is made to literature or theory. Listeners gain few new insights. “I didn’t learn anything new.”
Relevance to course	The presenters targeted the intended theme with the sophistication appropriate to an honors course.	Level of presentation is generally appropriate.	Aspects of the presentation are too elementary or too sophisticated for audience.	Audience seemed bored by the presentation. . Very little clear connection to the course.
Presentation Style and Organization	Presentation is crystal clear, logical and exceptionally well organized. Listeners can follow line of reasoning. Speaker is comfortable, speaks slowly and understandably, shows professionalism at all times.	Presentation is generally clear and well organized. Speaker seems comfortable, shows baseline professionalism, may seem a bit nervous, altering between fast and slow cadence.	Listener can follow presentation with effort. Some arguments are not clear. The presenter seems slightly uncomfortable at times, speaking either too quickly or not offering clear explanation.	A tad confusing. Logic not entirely clear. Disorganized. Little comfort demonstrated with presenting material, little familiarity with material itself, speaks too fast.
Communication Aids	Communication aids enhance the presentation. They are prepared in a professional manner. Font on visuals is large enough to be seen by all. Information is organized to maximize audience understanding. Details are minimized so that main points stand out. Slides are not cluttered.	Communication aids contribute to the quality of the presentation. Font size is appropriate for reading. Appropriate information is included. Some material is not supported by visual aids.	Communication aids are poorly prepared or used inappropriately. Font is too small to be easily seen. Too much information is included. Unimportant material is highlighted. Listeners may be confused.	No communication aids are used, or they are so poorly prepared that they detract from the presentation.
Conclusions: Critical Insights	Excellent and imaginative. Well-planned. Well articulated and thoughtful, provided a good foundation for discussion.	Average creativity, a little predictable. Thoughtful, but needed to be a bit deeper.	Could have used a little more oomph. Would have liked to delve more deeply into the topic. We needed more leadership on the topic.	Not as creative as it could have been. Seemed like a RedBull all-nighter.

Comments: