

Research Plan


Legal Research Center Video Series

Research Plan: Today's Topics

These are the major components of a legal research plan:

1. Preliminary Information
2. Issue statement
3. Search Terms
4. Secondary Sources
5. Primary Sources - Statute
6. Primary Sources - Cases
7. Citators

Example: Cattitude!



Cattitude: an upscale cat-walking service in La Jolla, CA

A couple years ago Sam signed up to be a full-time cat-walker and was thrilled at this niche opportunity.

Cattitude assigns cat clients and a company vehicle to Sam and now Sam's only income comes from cat-walking. Sam has never walked cats for any other company and has never advertised other walking services on their own.

Sam knows that some dog walkers like those on Rover! are considered independent contractors and Sam wants to know what they should be classified as under California employment law.

1. Preliminary Information

- **Jurisdiction:** California
 - What court am I in? What authority will be mandatory? Persuasive?
- **Key Facts:**
 - Sam works full-time for Cattitude.
 - Cattitude assigns cats to Sam.
 - Cattitude provides a company vehicle to Sam
 - Sam has never walked cats for any other company
 - Sam has never advertised walking services on their own.
- **Area of Law:** Employment law (employee or independent contractor?)


2. Issue Statement

- "Under-Does-When" formula
 - Under [applicable law], does/is [legal question], when [determinative facts]?
- Under California employment law, is Sam properly classified as an employee or independent contractor when Sam works full-time, has assignments given by the hiring company, uses a company-provided vehicle, has never worked for any other walking company, and has never advertised independent walking services?

3. Search Terms

- Search terms
 - employee, employer, independent contractor, worker, test, classification
- Sample natural language search
 - Independent contractor employee
- Sample terms and connectors search
 - "independent contractor" & employee & (test or classification)

4. Secondary Sources



Secondary Sources: Lexis

The screenshot displays the Lexis+ search results page. The search bar at the top shows the query "Independent contractor employee" and the selected jurisdiction is "California". The left sidebar contains a list of search filters, with "Jurisprudence" selected under "Secondary Materials". The main content area displays three search results, each with a title, jurisdiction, and author information. A red box highlights the search bar and the left sidebar. A hand icon points to the second result.

Results for: Independent contractor employee Natural Language

Run New Search Client: -None- Folders History Help Hybrid Protégé

Results for: Independent contractor employee

Sort by: Relevance

1 38 Ca Jur Independent Contractors § 29
California Jurisprudence 3d | 38 Ca Jur Independent Contractors § 29 | Mary Ellen West, J.D.
§ 29 Condition of work premises affecting employer's liability to independent contractor's employees
[View Table of Contents](#)

2 29 Ca Jur Employer and Employee § 11
California Jurisprudence 3d | 29 Ca Jur Employer and Employee § 11 | Janice Holben, J.D.; and Karl Oakes, J.D.
§ 11 Employee distinguished from independent contractor
[View Table of Contents](#)

3 38 Ca Jur Independent Contractors § 14
California Jurisprudence 3d | 38 Ca Jur Independent Contractors § 14 | Mary Ellen West, J.D.
§ 14 Determination of employer-employee or independent contractor relationship as question of fact or law; review

Left Sidebar:

- Cases 10,000+
- Statutes and Legislation 10,000+
- Practical Guidance 2,719
- Secondary Materials 344
 - ☐ Treatises & Guides 1,650
 - ☐ Law Reviews and Journals 1,353
 - ☒ Jurisprudence 344
 - ☐ Practice Insights 13
 - ☐ Legal Topic Summaries 4
- Administrative Materials 10,000+
- More
- Search Within Results
- Jurisdiction 1
- Practice Areas & Topics

Secondary Sources: Westlaw

The screenshot displays the Westlaw ADVANTAGE search interface. The search bar at the top contains the query "independent contractor" & employee & (test or classification). The results are filtered to "All content" and "All State & Federal". The left sidebar shows filters for "Content type: Secondary Sources (24)" and "Select multiple filters". The main results list shows two items:

- § 4:28. Determining whether worker is employee or independent contractor**
California Civil Practice Employment Litigation • CCPEMEMPLOYMENT § 4:28
Though the traditional and key test employment relationship is whether the principal to whom the service is rendered has the right to control the manner and means of accomplishing the result desired, the emphasis on control is no longer entirely dispositive. There are many factors to consider. These include [S. G. Borello & Sons, Inc. v....
...Drivers' classification as independent contractors in an independent contractor agreement with a delivery business and drivers' testimony that they considered themselves to be independent contractors was not determinative of the drivers' employment status as independent...
...The California statute governing worker classification as employees or independent contractors, by specifying whether the ABC test or less-rigid multi-factor analysis applied to given occupations under the given circumstances, did not violate the Equal Protection Clause; the statute's occupational classifications were rationally related to the legitimate governmental interest in confronting the misclassification of employees...
- § 2. Indicia of relationship of independent contractor and employer, generally**
California Jurisprudence 3d • CAJUR INDEPENDNT § 2
The most significant factor in determining the existence of an employer-independent contractor relationship is the right to control the manner and means by which the work is to be performed. Secondary factors that must be considered in determining whether a worker is an employee or an independent contractor are: whether the one performing services...
...[9] The process of distinguishing employees from independent contractors is fact-specific and qualitative rather than quantitative.[...
...A determination of status as an employee or independent contractor is set forth by statute to govern numerous sit

5. Primary Sources - Statute

[Lab. Code § 2775](#), as added effective September 4, 2020, provides that: (1) for purposes of the Labor Code and the Unemployment Insurance Code, and for the purposes of wage orders of the Industrial Welfare Commission, a person providing labor or services for remuneration must be considered an employee rather than an independent contractor unless the hiring entity demonstrates that all of the following conditions are satisfied: (A) The person is free from the control and direction of the hiring entity in connection with the performance of the work, both under the contract for the performance of the work and in fact. (B) The person performs work that is outside the usual course of the hiring entity's business. (C) The person is customarily engaged in an independently established trade, occupation, or business of the same nature as that involved in the work performed. (2) Notwithstanding [Lab. Code § 2775, subd. \(1\)](#), any exceptions to the

Cal. Lab. Code § 2775

Cal. Lab. Code § 2775

THOMSON REUTERS
WESTLAW ADVANTAGE

ABERNATHY MELISSA History Folders My links Notifications CoCounsel

All content Enter terms, citations, databases, questions, anything ... All State & Federal Parallel Search > Advanced >

§ 2775. Determination of status as employee or independent contractor; condition...

CA LABOR § 2775 • West's Annotated California Codes • Labor Code • Effective: September 4, 2020 • (Approx. 2 pages)

Document Notes of Decisions (37) History (42) Citing References (803) Context & Analysis (26) Powered by KeyCite Fullscreen

Table of Contents < § > Outline

West's Annotated California Codes
Labor Code (Refs & Annos)
Division 3. Employment Relations (Refs & Annos)
Chapter 2. Employer and Employee
Article 1.5. Worker Status: Employees (Refs & Annos)

Effective: September 4, 2020

West's Ann.Cal.Labor Code § 2775

§ 2775. Determination of status as employee or independent contractor; conditions; court decisions

Currentness

(a) As used in this article:

(1) "Dynamex" means *Dynamex Operations W. Inc. v. Superior Court* (2018) 4 Cal.5th 903.

CoCounsel

Table of Contents

The screenshot displays the Westlaw Advantage interface with a 'Table of Contents' modal window open. The modal lists various legal topics related to the determination of status as an employee or independent contractor. Two red arrows point to the first two items in the list. The background interface shows the 'Table of Contents' button highlighted in the left sidebar.

Table of Contents

Search headings [Download Table of Contents](#)

- § 2775. Determination of status as employee or independent contractor; conditions; court decisions
- § 2775.5. Determination of status as employee or independent contractor; vehicle ownership
- § 2776. Determination of status as employee or independent contractor; business contract relationship; conditions
- § 2777. Determination of status as employee or independent contractor; referral agencies; service providers
- § 2778. Determination of status as employee or independent contractor; contracts for professional services; factors
- § 2779. Determination of status as employee or independent contractor; sole proprietor; partnership; limited liability company; corporation performing work pursuant to contract
- § 2780. Determination of status as employee or independent contractor; occupations in connection with sound recordings or musical compositions
- § 2781. Determination of status as employee or independent contractor; construction industry;

[Close](#)

Background Interface:

THOMSON REUTERS
WESTLAW ADVANTAGE

All content Enter terms, citations

§ 2775. Determination of status as employee or independent contractor; conditions; court decisions

CA LABOR § 2775 • West's Annotated California Labor Code (Refs & Annotations)

Document Notes of Decisions (3)

Table of Contents < § > Outline

West's Annotated California Labor Code (Refs & Annotations)

Division 3. Employment

Chapter 2. Employees

Article 1.5. Wages

§ 2775. Determination of status as employee or independent contractor; conditions; court decisions

Currentness

(a) As used in this article:

(1) "Dynamex" means [Dynamex Operations W. Inc. v. Superior Court \(2018\) 4 Cal.5th 903](#).

[CoCounsel](#)

Notes of Decisions

THOMSON REUTERS
WESTLAW ADVANTAGE

All content Enter terms, citations, databases, All State & Federal Parallel Search > Advanced > Sign out

§ 2775. Determination of status as employee or independent contractor; condition...
CA LABOR § 2775 • West's Annotated California Codes • Labor Code • Effective: September 4, 2020 • (Approx. 2 pages)

Document Notes of Decisions (37) History (42) Citing References (803) Context & Analysis (26) Powered by KeyCite

Notes of Decisions (37) Sort: Procedural Order

Jurisdiction + Key Number + Apply

Table of Contents Hide

- 1. Construction and application
- 1.4. Constitutional issues
- 2. ABC test
- 2.5. Exemptions
- 3. Abuse of discretion
- 4. Standing
- 5. Certification of class
- 6. Summary judgment

2. ABC test

Under California law, worker is properly classified as employee unless hiring entity establishes all three of following factors: (A) that worker is free from hiring entity's control and direction in connection with performance of work, both under contract for performance of work and in fact; and (B) that worker performs work that is outside usual course of hiring entity's business; and (C) that worker is customarily engaged in independently established trade, occupation, or business of same nature as work performed. *Lawson v. Grubhub, Inc.*, C.A.9 (Cal.)2021, 13 F.4th 908, on remand 665 F.Supp.3d 1108. Labor and Employment 29

Under California law, an employer does not need to prove that a worker in fact provided services for other entities in order to satisfy Prong C of the "ABC" test for determining whether worker is an independent contractor or an employee, under which employer must establish that worker customarily engaged in an independently established trade, occupation, or business of the same nature as that involved in the work performed. *Sportsman v. A Place for Rover, Inc.*, N.D.Cal.2021, 537 F.Supp.3d 1081. Labor and Employment 29

Under California law, in context of "ABC" test for distinguishing between employees and independent contractors, when worker has not independently decided to engage in independently established business but, instead, is simply designated independent contractor by unilateral action of hiring entity, there is substantial risk that hiring business is attempting to evade demands of applicable wage order through misclassification. *Sportsman v. A Place for Rover, Inc.*, N.D.Cal.2021, 537 F.Supp.3d 1081. Labor and Employment 29

Under Prong C of California's "ABC" test for distinguishing between employees and independent contractors, which asks whether worker customarily engaged in independently established trade, occupation, or business of the same nature as that involved in the work performed.

CoCounsel

Cal. Lab. Code § 2775

Lexis+ Run New Search Client: -None- Folders History Help Hybrid Protégé

Cal Lab Code § 2775

Document Citing Decisions 154 History Other Citing Sources 537

History

Added [Stats 2020 ch 38 § 2 \(AB 2257\)](#), effective September 4, 2020.

Annotations

Notes to Decisions

- 1. Generally
- 2. Particular Determinations
- 1. Generally

In an enforcement action, the IT Corp. framework applied to review of a preliminary injunction restraining ride-hailing companies from classifying their drivers as

6. Primary Sources - Cases

- Review potentially relevant case law citations from your prior secondary source and statutory research.
 - Keyword searching for cases should not be your first step.
- Searching for cases by keyword
 - use filters to refine that search using the tools available in Westlaw or Lexis.
- Search for cases by topic using the West topic and key number system or Lexis's topic and subtopic system.

Review potentially relevant citations

29 Ca Jur Employer and Employee § 11

- **S. G. Borello & Sons, Inc. v. Department of Industrial Relations, 769 P.2d 399 (1989)**
- **Dynamex Operations W. Inc. v. Superior Court, 416 P.3d 1 (2018)**

for purposes of the Labor Code, the Unemployment Insurance Code, and the Wage Orders of the Industrial Welfare Commission, in reference to and in consideration of court decisions in *S. G. Borello & Sons, Inc. v. Department of Industrial Relations*, 48 Cal. 3d 341, 256 Cal. Rptr. 543, 769 P.2d 399 (1989) and *Dynamex Operations W. Inc. v. Superior Court*, 4 Cal.5th 903, 416 P.3d 1, 232 Cal.Rptr.3d 1 (2018); in particular, Lab. Code, § 2775 prescribes the three part ABC test pursuant to which a person providing labor or services for remuneration must be considered an employee rather than an independent contractor unless the hiring entity demonstrates that all of the prescribed conditions are satisfied, subject to Lab. Code, § 2775, subd. (3) which provides that if a court of law rules that the three-part test as prescribed cannot be applied to a particular context based on

Source Information
California Jurisprudence 3d

Search for cases by keyword

The screenshot displays the Lexis+ search results page for the query "Independent contractor employee". The interface includes a top navigation bar with the Lexis+ logo, a search bar, and various tool icons. Below the navigation bar, the search results are displayed in a list format. The first result is "Dynamex Operations W. v. Superior Court", a Supreme Court of California case from 2018. The second result is "Karstetter v. King County Corr. Guild", a Court of Appeals of Washington case from 2022. A red box highlights the "Search Within Results" sidebar on the left, which contains filters for Court, Case Type, Timeline, Subscription, and Published Status. The sidebar also includes a search bar and radio buttons for "Include" and "Exclude".

Lexis+ Run New Search Client: -None- Folders History Help Hybrid Protégé

Results for: Independent contractor employee Natural Language

Cases 10,000+ Statutes and Legislation 10,000+ Practical Guidance 2,721 Secondary Materials 10,000+ Administrative Materials 10,000+

1 **Dynamex Operations W. v. Superior Court** Supreme Court of California | 30 Apr 2018 | 4 Cal. 5th 903 | California

OVERVIEW: In determining whether to classify workers as employees or as independent contractors for purposes of wage orders enacted under the authority of Cal. Const., art. XIV, § 1, and Lab. Code, §§ 1173, 1178, 1178.5, 1182, 1185, the "suffer or permit to work" standard required a hiring entity to establish each of the three factors of the ABC test.

... Martinez 's conclusion in this regard. Further, the ABC test for distinguishing **employees** from **independent contractors** provides a common and well-established test for distinguishing **employees** from **independent contractors**. Accordingly, although the constitutional...
... when claims arise that one is excluded as an **independent contractor** from a statute protecting **employees**. The **employee-independent contractor** issue cannot be decided absent consideration of the remedial statutory purpose...
... distinguishing **employees** and **independent contractors**, it appears more precise to describe Borello as calling for resolution of the **employee** or **independent contractor** question by focusing ...
... concluded: "This is the balance to be struck when deciding whether a worker is an **employee** or an **independent contractor** for purposes of the Act." (Ibid.) Although the Borello opinion emphasized that resolution of the **employee** or **independent contractor** ...
... broader and more inclusive than the preexisting common law test for distinguishing **employees** from **independent contractors**, but at the same time, does not purport to render every individual worker an **employee** rather than an **independent contractor**. (See Rutherford...

2 **Karstetter v. King County Corr. Guild** Court of Appeals of Washington, Division One | 29 Aug 2022 | 23 Wn. App. 2d 361 | Washington

OVERVIEW: Because the attorney was an independent contractor with the Guild, he was not an employee, and he could be terminated as an attorney at any time for any reason, Wash. R. Prof. Conduct 1.16 . His claims for breach of contract and wrongful termination were properly dismissed.

of the attorney-client relationship if the attorney was an **independent contractor** rather than an **employee** of the client. [31] Employment **Independent**

Search Within Results ⓘ

Include • Exclude ○

Enter search terms

Court

Case Type

Timeline

Subscription

Published Status

Search for cases by topic

- Search for cases by topic using the West topic and key number system or Lexis's topic and subtopic system.
 - Use topic/key number or topic/subtopic combinations you've previously located in secondary sources or case headnotes

West's Key Number Digest, Labor and Employment [westkey]29, 30

West's Key Number Digest

- West's Key Number Digest, Labor and Employment  29, 30

Search for cases by topic

13 Labor and Employment

A business cannot unilaterally determine a worker's status as an independent contractor rather than an employee simply by assigning the worker the label "independent contractor" or by requiring the worker, as a condition of hiring, to enter into a contract that designates the worker an independent contractor.

27 Cases that cite this headnote



231H

Labor and Employment

231HI

In General

231Hk28

Independent Contractors and Their Employees

231Hk29

In general

THOMSON REUTERS
WESTLAW ADVANTAGE

ABERNATHY MELISSA History Folders My links Notifications CoCounsel ?

29 — In general Search West Key Number Headnotes California Parallel Search

Filter

Select multiple

Apply

Filter category

Custom Digest

Restore previous filters

Search within results

Search within results

Key Number +

Jurisdiction +

Date +

Apply

Back to k29 & #8212; In general

29 — In general (207) i

Jurisdiction: California Change

1 - 100 > Sort: Topic then Date

☐ Select all items • No items selected

231H LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT 20,629

231HI In General 1,166

231H 28 Independent Contractors and Their Employees 231

231H 29 In general 207

☐ 1. **Spilman v. The Salvation Army**

Court of Appeal, First District, Division 5, California. • January 6, 2026 • 117 Cal.App.5th 913

Headnote: Worker is "employee" rather than independent contractor unless hiring entity establishes that (A) worker is free from control and direction of hiring entity in connection with performance of work, both under contract for performance of work and in fact, (B) worker performs work that is outside usual course of hiring entity's business, and (C) worker is customarily engaged in independently established trade, occupation, or business.

Document Preview: LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT — Hours and Wages. Trial court failed to apply proper analysis in evaluating nonprofit's motion for summary judgment in rehabilitation program participant's wage suit.

☐ 2. **Sandhu v. Board of Administration etc.**

Court of Appeal, Third District, California. • February 14, 2025 • 108 Cal.App.5th 1048

Headnote: Agreement characterizing the relationship as one of client-independent contractor will be ignored if the parties, by their actual conduct, act like employer-employee; the label parties attach to their relationship is not dispositive and will be ignored if their actual conduct establishes a different relationship.

Document Preview: LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT — Public Employment. Substantial evi

CoCounsel

Search for cases by topic


Labor & Employment Law > [Employment Relationships](#) > [Independent Contractors](#)

Labor & Employment Law > [Wage & Hour Laws](#) > [Scope & Definitions](#) > [Definition of Employee](#)

HN12 The ABC test presumptively considers all workers to be employees, and permits workers to be classified as independent contractors only if the hiring business demonstrates that the worker in question satisfies each of three conditions: (a) that the worker is free from the control and direction of the hirer in the performance of the work; (b) that the worker is not customarily engaged in an independent occupation, trade, or business; and (c) that the worker is engaged in the work as a free contractor.

performance of the work
course of the work
independent contractor
work performance

 [Recommend](#)



The screenshot shows the Lexis+ search interface. The top navigation bar includes 'Run New Search', 'Client: None', 'Folders', 'History', 'Help', 'Hybrid', and a 'Protégé' button. The search results are titled 'Topic Results: Independent Contractors'. On the left, there is a sidebar with filters for 'Cases' (1,623), 'Statutes and Legislation' (1,299), 'Practical Guidance' (0), 'Secondary Materials' (2,801), and 'Administrative Materials' (1,829). Below this is a 'Search Within Results' section with a dropdown arrow. The 'Court' filter is expanded, showing 'Federal' (8,575) and 'State' (12,455) with sub-options for various circuits and states. The main results area shows three cases: 1. **S. G. Borello & Sons, Inc. v. Department of Industrial Relations** (Supreme Court of California, 23 Mar 1989, 48 Cal. 3d 341). 2. **Dynamex Operations W. v. Superior Court** (Supreme Court of California, 30 Apr 2018, 4 Cal. 5th 903). 3. **Privette v. Superior Court** (Supreme Court of California, 19 Jul 1993, 5 Cal. 4th 689). Each case entry includes an overview and a 'Quick View' link.

Lexis+
Run New Search Client: None- Folders History Help Hybrid Protégé

Topic Results: Independent Contractors

Independent Contrac... California Clear

Ask Protégé™

Sort by: Relevance

1 **S. G. Borello & Sons, Inc. v. Department of Industrial Relations** Quick View
Supreme Court of California | 23 Mar 1989 | 48 Cal. 3d 341 | California
OVERVIEW: Laborers under a written "sharefarmer" agreement were "employees" entitled to workers' compensation coverage and not "independent contractors" because plaintiff employer controlled the manner and means of their work.

2 **Dynamex Operations W. v. Superior Court** Quick View
Supreme Court of California | 30 Apr 2018 | 4 Cal. 5th 903 | California
OVERVIEW: In determining whether to classify workers as employees or as independent contractors for purposes of wage orders enacted under the authority of Cal. Const., art. XIV, § 1, and Lab. Code, §§ 1173, 1178, 1178.5, 1182, 1185, the "suffer or permit to work" standard required a hiring entity to establish each of the three factors of the ABC test.

3 **Privette v. Superior Court** Quick View
Supreme Court of California | 19 Jul 1993 | 5 Cal. 4th 689 | California
OVERVIEW: A homeowner who hired a contractor was not liable in tort, under the peculiar risk doctrine, to the contractor's employee who suffered injuries not caused by the homeowner.

Cases 1,623
Statutes and Legislation 1,299
Practical Guidance 0
Secondary Materials 2,801
Administrative Materials 1,829
More

Search Within Results

Court 1

Federal 8,575
U.S. Supreme Court 20
1st Circuit 360
2nd Circuit 863
3rd Circuit 793
4th Circuit 673
More

State 12,455
Alabama 176
Alaska 23

7. Citators

- Review our videos on Citators for Statutes and Citators for Cases
- Use citators for both validity and research
- For this research plan example we will use Cal. Lab. Code § 2775 and *Dynamex Operations W. Inc. v. Superior Court*, 416 P.3d 1(2018) as examples though your research logs will likely include more sources.

KeyCite: Cal. Lab. Code § 2775

THOMSON REUTERS
WESTLAW ADVANTAGE

ABERNATHY MELISSA History Folders My links Notifications CoCounsel ? Sign out

All content cal. labor. code 2775 California Parallel Search > Advanced >

← § 2775. Determination of status as employee or independent contractor; conditio... CA LABOR § 2775 • West's Annotated California Codes • Labor Code • Effective: September 4, 2020 • (Approx. 2 pages)

Document Notes of Decisions (37) History (42) Citing References (803) Context & Analysis (26) Powered by KeyCite Fullscreen

Table of Contents < § > Outline

West's Annotated California Codes
Labor Code (Refs & Annos)
Division 3. Employment Relations (Refs & Annos)
Chapter 2. Employer and Employee
Article 1.5. Worker Status: Employees (Refs & Annos)

Effective: September 4, 2020
West's Ann.Cal.Labor Code § 2775

§ 2775. Determination of status as employee or independent contractor; conditions; court decisions

Currentness

(a) As used in this article...

(1) "Dynamic..."

West's Ann. Cal. Labor Code § 2775, CA LABOR § 2775
Current with urgency legislation through Ch. 101 of 2026 Reg.Sess. Some statute sections may be more current, see credits for details.

Shepard's: Cal. Lab. Code § 2775

Lexis+ Run New Search Client: -None- Folders History Help Hybrid Protégé

Cal Lab Code § 2775

Document Citing Decisions 154 History **Other Citing Sources 537**

Deering's California Codes Annotated

Article 1.5 Worker Status: Employees

§ 2775. Employee versus independent contractor; Applicable law

§ 2775.5. Vehicle ownership and employment classification

§ 2776. Exception for bona fide business-to-business contracting relationship

§ 2777. Exception for relationship between referral agency and service provider

§ 2778. Exception for contract for "professional services"

§ 2779. Exception for relationship between individuals acting as sole proprietor or separate partnership, limited liability company, limited liability partnership, or corporation performing contract work for single-engagement event

§ 2780. Exception for specified occupations related to creating, marketing, promoting, or distributing sound recordings or musical compositions

§ 2781. Exception for relationship between contractor and individual performing work pursuant to subcontract

Cal Lab Code § 2775

Copy Citation

Current with urgency legislation through Ch.89 of the 2025-2026 Regular Session, effective as of July 16, 2026

Heading

Deering's California Codes Annotated

LABOR CODE (§§ 1 – 12001)

Division 3 Employment Relations (Chs. 1 – 4)

Chapter 2 Employer and Employee (Arts. 1 – 5)

Article 1.5 Worker Status: Employees (§§ 2775 – 2787)

Info Notes

Compare Versions

Shepard's

Positive

Citing Decisions 154

Positive 1

Neutral 14

Cited 146

Shepardize® document

Signals on Subsections

Source Information

Statute Summary Generated by AI

KeyCite: Dynamex, 416 P.3d 1(2018)

THOMSON REUTERS
WESTLAW ADVANTAGE

ABERNATHY MELISSA History Folders My links Notifications CoCounsel

All content Enter terms, citations, databases, questions, anything ... California Parallel Search > Advanced >

Dynamex Operations W. v. Superior Court Supreme Court of California, April 30, 2018, 4 Cal.5th 903, 416 P.3d 1, 232 Cal.Rptr.3d 1, See All Citations (Approx. 87 pages)

Document Filings (34) **Negative Treatment (27)** History (5) Citing References (2,783) Cited With (5,333) More Like This Table of Authorities Powered by KeyCite Fullscreen

Brief It

Superseded by Statute as Stated in *Seviour-Iloff v. LaPaille*, Cal.App. 1 Dist., June 28, 2022
Overruling Risk *Seviour-Iloff v. LaPaille*, Cal.App. 1 Dist., June 28, 2022

4 Cal.5th 903
Supreme Court of California.

DYNAMEX OPERATIONS WEST, INC., Petitioner,
v.
The SUPERIOR COURT of Los Angeles County, Respondent;
Charles Lee et al., Real Parties in Interest.

S222732
Filed 4/30/2018

Synopsis

CoCounsel

Shepard's: Dynamex, 416 P.3d 1(2018)

Lexis+ Run New Search Client: -None- Folders History Help Hybrid Protégé

Dynamex Operations W. v. Superior Court Supreme Court April 30, 2018 4 Cal. 5th 903

Document Citing Decisions 491 History 53 Other Citing Sources 2258 Table of Authorities 78 Filings 34

Dynamex Operations W. v. Superior Court
Copy Citation Ask Protégé Law School Case Brief

Supreme Court of California
April 30, 2018, Filed
Civil No. S222732

Reporter
4 Cal. 5th 903 * | [416 P.3d 1](#) | [232 Cal. Rptr. 3d 1](#) | [83 Cal. Comp. Cases 817](#) | [2018 Cal. LEXIS 3152](#) | 168 Lab. Cas. (CCH) P61,859 | 2018 WL 1999120

DYNAMEX OPERATIONS WEST, INC., Petitioner, v. THE SUPERIOR COURT OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, Respondent; CHARLES LEE et al., Real Parties in Interest.

Subsequent History: Petitions For Modification Denied and Petition for Rehearing Denied by Supreme Court June 20, 2018
Reported at [Dynamex Operations West, Inc. v. Superior Court](#), 2018 Cal. LEXIS 3208 (Cal., Apr.

Shepard's
Caution Why?

Citing Decisions 491

Caution 31
Positive 62
Neutral 33


[Shepardize document](#)

Signals on case references

[Original Source Image](#)

Wrap Up

1. **Preliminary Information**
2. **Issue statement**
3. **Search Terms**
4. **Secondary Sources**
5. **Primary Sources - Statute**
6. **Primary Sources - Cases**
7. **Citators**



LRC Reference Desk

Email: lrcrefer@sandiego.edu

Phone: (619) 260-4612

Chat: sandiego.edu/law/library

Other videos in this series are available on [the LWR Research Trainings page](#) of the LRC's website.